Prof. Emerit. Dr. Norbert M. Seel

Instructional

Design Models

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg i.Br.

seel@ezw.uni-freiburg.de

People who have enjoyed regular education often remember how the way that teachers modeled their instruction helped learning and understanding the world.

Leading questions:

- → what
- → whom
- \rightarrow why
- \rightarrow which purpose
- →how
- \rightarrow when
- → where

Different labels are used to denote the field.

The label *teaching/instructional methods* is mainly used in English-speaking parts of the world ...

... whereas the label *didactics* is dominant in European countries.

In the last 60 years the label *instructional design (ID)* became established almost all over the world. Zierer, K., & Seel, N.M. (2012). General didactics and instructional design: eyes like twins. A transatlantic dialogue about similarities and differences, about the past and future of two sciences of learning and teaching. *SpringerPlus* 2012, **1**:15.

(highly accessed)

The label ID covers a broad range of activities that are usually summarized into

- Needs assessment aiming at the specification of which knowledge and skills students should acquire,
- the design of instructional programs (e.g. lectures),
- the development of learning materials and delivery systems as well as the construction of learning tasks,
- the implementation of programs,
- the evaluation of the outcomes and outputs.

The field of instructional design and technology encompasses the analysis of learning and performance problems, and the design, development, implementation, evaluation (\rightarrow ADDIE)

and management of instructional and

non-instructional processes and resources intended

to improve learning and performance in a variety of

settings, particularly educational institutions and the workplace

(Reiser, 2001, p. 57).

Models of Instructional Design

"Models ... shape the consciousness of those who use them"

(Ryder, 2003, p.1).

The generations of ID-Models:



1. Prescriptive **procedural models** in accor-

dance with Gagné:

- * Dick-and-Carey-Modell
- * Component Display Theory (Merrill)
- * Elaboration Theory (Reigeluth) etc.
- * Cognitive Load Theory

2. AID-models (Goal: Automatisation of

instructional planning):

- * ID-Expert
- * GAIDA, XAIDA
- * Softbuilder
- 3. Research-based models
 - * Anchored Instruction
 - * Model-Centered Learning and Instruction

Prescriptive models

Dick and Carey Design Model



Prescriptive models

Dick and Carey (1996) summarize the characteristics of their model:

Goal-directed: all the components in the system work together toward a defined goal.

Interdependencies: all the components in the system depend on each other for input and output.

Feedback mechanism: the entire system uses feedback to determine whether the goal is met.

Self-regulating: The system will be modified until the desired goal is reached.



ADDIE





Needs analysis

Specification of goals and objectives → Performance analysis Analysis of the addressees Task analysis Cost-utility-analysis





Storyboard Flow chart Interface Learning tasks Sequencing



3. Development

.



Construction of a "working model"

Specification of the blueprint: Text Design Graphic Design Media Design



Percentages of time spent in instructional development for a large project consisting of 21 courses developed for the United States Air Force 3%

Dozens of prescriptive ID-models have been

developed and intensely used

especially for designing instruction in the field of training in business and industry.

However, the prescriptive ID-models have been criticized again and again ...

up to the point that Gordon and Zenke (2000) maintained that *ID in its current form is as* good as dead

because its foundation is not suitable for facing new societal and technological demands.

Gordon and Zemke argued that education and trainings must accommodate a diverse, widely distributed set of students who need to learn and transfer complex cognitive skills to an increasingly varied set of real-world

contexts and settings.

Several novel models of ID want to correspond with this verdict ... for example

- The 4C/ID model (van Merrienboer)
- MOMBI: Model of Model-Based Instruction (Hanke, Seel)

Learning tasks

- concrete, authentic whole-task experiences
- organized in simple-to-complex task classes, i.e., categories of equivalent learning tasks
- learning tasks within the same task class start with high build-in learner support, which disappears at the end of the task class (i.e., a process of "scaffolding").
- learning tasks within the same task class show high variability

Part-task practice

- provides additional practice for selected recurrent constituent skill in order to reach required level of automaticity
- organized in part-task practice sessions, which are best intermixed with learning tasks
- snowballing and REP-sequences might be applied for complex rule sets
- practice items are divergent for all situations that underlying rules can deal with

Supportive information

- supports the learning and performance of non-recurrent aspects of learning tasks
- consists of mental models, cognitive strategies and cognitive feedback
- is specified per task class
- is always available to the learners

JIT information

Ο

Ο

Ο

- prerequisite to the learning and performance of recurrent aspects of learning tasks or practice items
- consists of information displays, demonstrations and instances and corrective feedback
- is specified per recurrent constituent skill
- presented when needed and quickly fades away as learners acquire expertise

Model-Centered Learning and Instruction (MCLI)

Grounds on the theory of mental models and investigates how the construction and revision of "internal" models can be externally (by means of instruction) initiated and facilitated.



Different ways to come to mental models:

(1) Models are constructed on the basis of inductive reasoning, i.e. by means of the analogy from the known to the unknown;



(2) Models ground on the observation and adaptation of orther people's behavior or cultural models;



(3) Models are constructed on the basis of explanations of other people.



"WE WILLABORATE. I'M AN EXPERT BUT NOT AN ANTHORITY, AND DR. GELPHS IS AN ANTHORITY, BUT NOT AN EXPERT."

Modell-based Discovery Learning

Hybrid learning environments offer opportunities for reflective thinking and intend to enable the learners to construct mental models (and external representations of them) in order to solve problems.

"Learning by Design"



Lernumgebung "Ökosystem Wald"







odel Building Kit



>Prüfen|



Suchen|





Verstehen

Mentale Repräsentation des Zieles: Der Analogiebildende wird sich zunächst das Ziel und die Merkmale des Zielbereichs vergegenwärtigen (z.B. die Aufgabenstellung in einer Problemsituation). Qualität und Umfang der mentalen Repräsentation werden dabei von dem verfügbaren Vorwissen beeinflusst.



Zu diesem Thema hätte ich gerne

Hauptauswahl

Einführung



Ich bin fertig!

Beispielbibliothek

ertia.



Berein

Wisse

Vorgeh

Beispi



odel Building Kit

Vergleichen

Hauptauswahl

Einführung



>Prüfen|



Suchen|





inen Textinen Textinen

Zu diesem Thema hätte ich gerne

In diesem Teilschritt wird überprüft, ob der Basisbereich neben den bisher evidenten Gemeinsamkeiten

mit dem Zielbereich noch weitere Übereinstimmungen aufweist. Vor allem Merkmale, die über die Zielrepräsentation hinausweisen, dienen als Ansatzpunkte für die Erzeugung einer Analogie.

lch bin fertig!

Beispielbibliothek

ertia.



Berein

Wisse

Vorgeh

Beispi

The future of ID -Is there a future?

My points of consideration:

- 1. There is a need of progressing toward a theory of instructional design,
- 2. Future developments of ID models should focus on the creativity of designing.

Surprisingly, after 60 years there is no comprehensive theory of ID but rather a big number of diverse models.

It is certainly true that constructing a theory (that serves both explanation and discovery) is a slow process that more often proceeds stepby-step by accretion and tuning than by sudden decisive changes and shifts of paradigms.

It's a dirty job but someone's gotta do it.

Creativity and design



Traditional models of ID ignore the importance of

creativity in instructional design.

There is a need for the connection between

creativity and instructional design to be

formally conceptualized, included routinely in the

discourse of our field, and incorporated

into the training of new instructional designers.

Creativity is understood to be the generation of ideas that are both novel and useful, usually in response to a problem that needs to be solved (Csikszentmihalyi 1996; and many others). A review of 70 creativity training studies by Scott et al. (2004) presents a positive view of improving creative output. The studies measured results in terms of

divergent thinking, problem solving,

performance, and/or attitude and behavior.

The authors found that "well-designed creativity training programs typically induce gains in performance with these effects generalizing across criteria, settings, and target populations" (p. 361).









Ready

Thanks for Attention